MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE UNEXPECTED SECOND SYNCHRONISATION

MEETING DATE: 00 JUNE 1977

RECORDED OPENING: 10:12

FIRST REFERENCE TO MEETING: 10:01

CHAIR: E. C. VALE

UNIT 4 IS NOT INSTALLED

Subject: HRO-2, HRO-3 and an additional participant not held on the asset register.

MINUTES APPROVED
DATE TO FOLLOW

ATTENDANCE AND STATEMENT OF MATTER

ATTENDEE	FUNCTION	STATUS	NOTE
E. C. Vale	Chair	Present	Decision authority
I. Marek	Reference Research	Present	Raised event
A. J. Marr	Human Factors	Present	Personnel note
R. Fen	Asset Control	Present	No Unit 4
Unit 4	Expected successor	Not installed	Log participant

HRO-2 and HRO-3 achieved normal mutual synchronisation at 09:58. At 10:01, both units recorded a second synchronisation against source HRO-4. No HRO-4 had been built, ordered or assigned.

How can absence attend?

SELECTED MINUTE TRANSCRIPT

10:18 / REFERENCE RESEARCH

> Both machines accepted the same correction from a unit that is not here.
>
> I. Marek

10:21 / ASSET CONTROL

> There is no Unit 4.
>
> R. Fen

10:22 / CHAIR

> Then the synchronisation was achieved without capital expenditure.
>
> E. C. Vale

The committee agreed that absence from the asset register does not invalidate an observed technical contribution. Finance requested that the contribution not become a depreciation event.

That is not what I said.

AGREED ACTIONS

ACTION	REQUIREMENT	OWNER	STATUS
A-01	Reserve HRO-4 identifier	Asset Control	Closed
A-02	Retain source logs	Reference Research	Closed
A-03	Determine meeting date	Secretariat	Open
A-04	Prevent depreciation	Finance	Closed
A-05	Do not install Unit 4	Engineering	Superseded 1986

The committee accepts the event as a valid second synchronisation. The first remains the ordinary agreement between Units 2 and 3. The second is the agreement of both units with their anticipated successor.

We have made it expected.

CHRONOLOGY DISPUTED